Immediate Improvement in Severe Mitral Regurgitation After Aortic Valve Replacement for Severe Aortic Insufficiency
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Abstract
A 57-year-old male with ascending aortic aneurysm, severe aortic regurgitation, and severe mitral regurgitation (MR) underwent ascending aortic replacement and aortic valve replacement. MR in this patient with normal mitral valve morphology was considered secondary to aortic valve incompetency. Consequently, a surgical approach to restore aortic valve function was adopted with successful MR resolution. This case report demonstrates the possibility of reversing early functional mitral regurgitation without surgically approaching the mitral valve.
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Introduction
Functional mitral regurgitation (FMR) is due to incomplete closure of mitral leaflets secondary to pathological left ventricular (LV) dilatation. In this case, aortic valve replacement (AVR) proved sufficient for resolving FMR secondary to aortic insufficiency in a patient without intrinsic anatomic mitral valve abnormalities.

Case Presentation
A 57-year-old male with history of well-controlled hypertension, smoking, and ascending aortic aneurysm was transferred from a community healthcare facility to the cardiac surgery intensive care unit of a tertiary care center after developing chest tightness, worsening dyspnea, orthopnea, and significantly decreased exercise tolerance. Acute myocardial infarction and aortic dissection were ruled out based on a normal electrocardiogram (ECG), normal levels of troponins, and a computed tomography angiogram (CTA) of the chest. The ascending aortic aneurysm was noted again. Approximately 4 months prior to this episode, a chest computed tomography for pneumonia had revealed this ascending aortic aneurysm incidentally. At the time of admission, his medications included furosemide 40 mg four times daily and metoprolol 12.5 mg twice daily. Physical examination revealed an afebrile, male with a body mass index of 28.7, heart rate of 78 per minute, respiratory rate of 20 per minute, blood pressure of 142/62 mm Hg, bibasilar rales, and 3+ diastolic and systolic heart murmurs, which were loudest at the base.

A preoperative transthoracic echocardiogram (TTE) revealed severe aortic regurgitation (AR); mild mitral, tricuspid, and pulmonic regurgitation; moderate left atrial (LA) dilatation, normal LV size with mild concentric hypertrophy, and normal systolic and diastolic function. The estimated ejection fraction was 64%. Based on the TTE, the diameters of the visualized portions of the aorta were 4.0
cm at the sinus of Valsalva, 3.4 cm at the sinotubular junction, 4.7 cm at the ascending aorta, and 3.7 cm at the aortic arch. Chest CTA with contrast showed 4.7-cm dilatation of the mid-ascending aorta (Figure 1A) and atherosclerotic calcifications within the left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD). The aortic arch and descending aorta were of normal calibers. Cardiac catheterization exhibited single vessel coronary artery disease with 80% stenosis in the LAD and severe AR. The LV end diastolic pressure (LVEDP) was estimated to be 30–35 mm Hg.

Overall, this patient’s symptoms were consistent with acute exacerbation of congestive heart failure secondary to aortic root dilatation and concomitant AR. With an aortic diameter greater than 4.5 cm and persistent chest tightness, he was at risk for aortic dissection, and a prompt repair of ascending aortic aneurysm was warranted. Intraoperative transesophageal echocardiogram (TEE) revealed moderate-to-severe mitral regurgitation (MR) with normal morphology of mitral leaflets in addition to previously noted severe AR and ascending aortic aneurysm (Figure 2A). Both the anesthesia and surgical teams were very concerned about the severe MR, and we strongly considered approaching the valve surgically, in addition to the other necessary procedures. The patient underwent ascending aortic replacement, AVR, and coronary artery bypass grafting to the left anterior descending artery with left internal mammary artery. The mitral valve was not approached. Intraoperative TEE showed immediate improvement of MR following the procedure (Figure 2B).

After surgery, the patient had an uneventful recovery and was discharged home on postoperative day 5. Two months later, chest CTA revealed normal caliber and contour of ascending aorta and aortic arch without any evidence of complication (Figure 1B).

Discussion

FMR is the most common etiology of MR in the United States [1]. Contrary to MR caused by diseases of valvular tissue such as flail leaflet and infective endocarditis, FMR is due to incomplete closure of mitral leaflets secondary to pathological LV dilatation. Chronic volume overload (as from AR) eventually overwhelms LV compliance and induces adverse ventricular remodeling, which gives rise to LV enlargement and dilatation of the mitral annulus. FMR develops when the mitral leaflets are no longer able to coapt. Chronic FMR may lead to further adverse remodelling of the heart including LA dilatation. When the compliance of LA exceeds a certain limit, LA pressure starts to rise, eventually resulting in pulmonary hypertension [2-7].

In this case, we surmised that restoring aortic valve competency (AVR) in the setting of normal systolic and diastolic functions and a morphologically normal mitral valve was likely to resolve FMR by returning left ventricular end diastolic volume (LVEDV) and LVEDP to normal levels. MR was immediately and

Figure 1. Panel A. Preoperative chest computed tomography angiogram showing an ascending aortic aneurysm with a 4.7-cm diameter. Panel B. Postoperative chest computed tomography angiogram showing an aorta with a normal caliber and contour.
dramatically improved in this patient following AVR. We were surprised and gratified to see immediate MR resolution upon elimination of the severe AR. In retrospect, our decision to avoid mitral valve surgery avoided unnecessary prolongation of an already major surgical procedure.

Double valve replacement has a reported mortality rate of 5.6–17.5%, which is much higher than that of AVR alone (2.0–7.8%) [6]. Thus, it is critical to evaluate the contribution of each individual lesion in order to choose the surgical treatment with the most favorable outcome. MR has long been considered a marker of impaired LV performance in aortic stenosis (AS) [8]. Mild-to-severe FMR in AS can be resolved with AVR alone, probably due to improved LV function [9, 10]. However, the efficacy and limitations of AVR for FMR secondary to AR have not been studied. Here, we report a successful reversal of functional MR after AVR. This case report highlights the relationship between valve function and ventricular geometry.
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**Figure 2.** Panel A, B and C: Preoperative transthoracic echocardiogram showing moderate to severe mitral regurgitation. Panel D: Postoperative transthoracic echocardiogram showing mitral competency.
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